INTRODUCTION

Blood pressure measuring (BPM) devices is basic yet fundamental tool in routine clinical care. It measures blood pressure, which is of utmost importance in diagnosis and management of hypertension. It is crucial to ensure that the blood pressures measured are accurate before any inferences are made. Studies have reported that either over or under estimation of blood pressure by 3-5 mmHg could classify ‘non-hypertensive’ patients as hypertensive and vice-versa. Inaccurate devices are potentially the fundamental source for erroneous measurement. Further, with the mercury devices (gold standard) being progressively banned, there has been rapid advancement in technology to replace these devices.

This increases the quest for reliable devices. Ideally, BPM devices are validated as per credible protocols developed by recognized institutions like the Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation, the British Hypertension Society and the European Society of Hypertension. However, only few manufacturers have published evidences of validation.

This necessitates internal mechanism of assessing the performance of the devices, especially in a country like Bhutan, where medical equipment are still being imported. The Ministry of Health recently endorsed the standard operating procedure on performance verification and safety testing of BPM devices. It recommends the device pressure reading to be within +/- 3 mmHg and leak rate <=15 mmHg/min. However, the performances of BPM devices that are currently being used in Bhutan were not assessed. Therefore, this study was undertaken with the aim of describing the types of blood pressure measuring devices used in the health facilities in Bhutan and to assess their accuracies.
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METHODS

Design
This descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted from May to August 2018.

Setting
Bhutan is a small landlocked South Asian country in the heart of the Himalayas with a population of 73553. There are three referral hospitals, 32 hospitals including the traditional medicine hospital and five other hospitals, 23 Basic Health Unit Is, 185 Basic Health Unit IIs, 59 Indigenous units, 45 Sub-posts and 551 Out-Reach Clinics in Bhutan.

Sample population and variables
For this study, two referral hospitals (Central and Eastern Regional Referral Hospital), all hospitals and Basic Health Units Grade I were included. The study was not performed at BHU IIs, Sub-posts, ORCs, and hospitals managed by military due to time and budgetary constraints.

All functional BPM devices (mercury, aneroid and electronic) within the aforementioned health facilities were assessed for pressure accuracy and leak rates. Physical conditions of the devices were checked before the commencement of tests. Defective devices were repaired on site (replacement of cuffs, bladders, inflating bulbs, metal valves, glass graduated tube, connectors, and electronic components). For aneroid BPM devices, the baseline/pointer was re-adjusted to 0 mmHg, wherever necessary. Similarly, for mercury BPM devices, the level of mercury was maintained at 0 mmHg.

Calibrated Vital Signs Simulator (ProSim™ 8) from Fluke Biomedical was used as the reference device. It had measurement error of ± 0.016 mmHg and uncertainty of ± 0.58 mmHg (at 95% confidence interval) over the pressure range 10-400 mmHg. The simulator was calibrated at Tektronix Private Limited, Mumbai, India before the commencement of the study. The ‘NIBP’ function of the reference device was selected for this study.

Set up
The BPM devices under test (DUT) were connected to the reference device using a Tee connector as shown in Figure 1. The third open-end of Tee connector was connected with one of the ends of cuff. The cuff was wrapped around a 9 cm mandrel. For aneroid and mercury BPM devices, the metal/bleed/air release valves of inflating bulb (other end of cuff) were tightly secured. Both DUT and reference device were placed on flat surface before the tests.

Pressure Accuracy Test
‘PRESSURE SOURCE’ test mode was selected for this test. Residual pressures on the DUT and reference device were set to zero. DUT were exposed to static pressures simulated by ProSim8 and simultaneous readings on DUT were recorded. Three readings were taken for each of the simulation. For electronic BPM devices, pressures (systolic /diastolic) were simulated at; i) 180/130 mmHg ii) 80/40 mmHg iii) 120/80 mmHg, pseudo ‘hyper’, ‘hypo’ and ‘normo’ tensive conditions respectively. The sequence was maintained to avoid possible errors introduced by DUT’s (electronic devices) temporary memory. For mercury and aneroid devices, pressures were simulated at; i) 150 mmHg ii) 80 mmHg iii) 100 mmHg.

Leak Test
The ‘LEAK TEST’ mode on the reference device was selected for this test. First the leak test was performed on the reference device without connecting DUT to offset the leak rate of the whole system. The leak tests could not be performed on electronic devices, as it could not be set to ‘calibration mode’, which was a pre-requisite. Three (n=3) readings were taken at the simulated target pressure of 150 mmHg over 1 minute.

Data Analysis and Ethical Approval
Data was double entered in EpiData (version 3.1), validated and transferred to Stata version 13.1 for analysis. For this study descriptive statistics such as frequency and proportion were calculated. For pressure accuracy test, differences between the simulated and averaged corresponding recorded pressure readings were taken. The DUT with differences within ± 3 mmHg were considered accurate. For leak test, DUT with average leak rate ≤ 15 mmHg were considered acceptable.

Since there was no human subject involvement in the study the Research Ethics Board of Health exempted the study from ethical review. The administrative clearance was obtained from Ministry of Health prior to commencement of this study.

RESULTS
All 395 functional blood pressure measuring devices, being used at the study sites, were tested in this study. These devices were of three types; aneroid (34.18%), electronic (31.65%) and the remaining were mercury devices as shown in Table 1.

Out of 394 devices tested for pressure accuracy, 64.72% passed the pressure accuracy test i.e. the readings were within the acceptable range of ± 3 mmHg as shown in Table 2. One aneroid device could not be tested for pressure accuracy as the leakage rate was above 80 mmHg, which did not allow static pressure reading. In terms of type of devices, 6.40% of electronic devices passed the pressure accuracy tests as compared to 88.81% of aneroid and 94.81% of mercury devices. Leak test was performed only for mercury and aneroid devices due to technical limitations. A box plot of leak rates for these devices is shown in Figure 2. Out of 269 devises tested, 71.85 % passed the test with readings within the national allowable leak rate of ≤ 15 mmHg per min.

The devices manufacturers were Omron (22.53%), Diamond (21.27%), Rossmax (18.48%), Lifeline (6.33%), Dr.
## Table 1. Distribution by type, manufacturer and model of Blood Pressure (BP) measuring devices in health facilities in Bhutan, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of devices</th>
<th>Health Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Referral Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneroid</td>
<td>16 (25.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>20 (31.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>27 (42.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>63</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manufacturer</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omron</td>
<td>11 (17.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>22 (34.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosmax</td>
<td>11 (17.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifeline</td>
<td>2 (03.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr.Sphygmomanometer</td>
<td>1 (01.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others †</td>
<td>16 (25.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing‡</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Rossmax)§</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB101</td>
<td>8 (12.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Diamond)¶</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular (CM/L-0196043)</td>
<td>20 (31.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Omron)¶</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEM7117</td>
<td>11 (17.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others **</td>
<td>7 (11.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing††</td>
<td>17 (26.98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Column percentages
†Includes Citizen, Gold Supreme, Dr. Morepen, Hicks, Bookang, Medifit, Accusure, Naulakha, Kenzin, Equinox, ALP K2, MSI, Bioplus, Microlife, Boso, Riesta, A&D, Tycos, SK, Focal, Extra care. The manufacturers <5% were clubbed under others.
‡Devices whose detail of manufacturers were missing
§Aneroid BP measuring Devices
¶Mercury BP measuring Devices
‖Electronic BP measuring Devices
*Includes HEM-8712, HEM-4500-SOL, Deluxe, CM/L 9421877, CM/L 8325472, Exacta, CL0483, CM/L 8262373, CH-432, BP Fit Pro, HEM-7120, HEM-8712, BP-09, GB102, HEM-71111, HEM-7121, D-72417, CH-453-AC, CH-463E, BP3AQI-2P, HEM-7200-C1, KI, BP-05. The models <5% were clubbed under others.
††Devices whose detail of models were unavailable
Table 2. Pressure Accuracy test results of various blood pressure measuring devices in health facilities of Bhutan with ProSim8, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Total tested</th>
<th>± 3 mmHg† n (%)</th>
<th>± 5 mmHg n (%)</th>
<th>± 10 mmHg n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>128 (94.81)</td>
<td>130 (96.30)</td>
<td>133 (98.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneroid*</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>119 (88.81)</td>
<td>123 (91.79)</td>
<td>131 (97.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8 (6.40)</td>
<td>26 (20.80)</td>
<td>113 (90.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>255 (64.72)</td>
<td>279 (70.81)</td>
<td>377 (95.68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unable to read pressure for one device as the leak rate was too high to maintain static pressure
†The permissible range as specified in the standard operating procedure for performance verification and safety testing of blood pressure approved by the Ministry of Health for use in Bhutan

Figure 1. Set up of Blood Pressure Measuring Device for Pulse accuracy and leak tests with Vital Signs Simulator (ProSimTM8), in health facilities of Bhutan, 2018 as per the standard operating procedure for performance verification and safety testing of blood pressure approved by the Ministry of Health for use in Bhutan
Sphygmomanometer (5.06%) and others (25.32%). Out of 282 devices for which the data on type of models were available, 14.43% were HEM-7117 from Omron, 16.46% were GB101 from Rosmax and 17.97% CM/L-0196043 from Diamond (Table 1). Other models, which were less than 5% by individual model, constituted 22.53%.

DISCUSSION

This study found that two-thirds of the BPM devices used in the selected health facilities of Bhutan in 2018 were accurate to ± 3 mmHg. However, more than ninety percent of electronic devices were found to be inaccurate. This finding is worrisome for Bhutan where there is a gradual phasing out of mercury devices and ever increasing use of electronic devices, both at health facilities and homes.

Inaccurate reading of BPM devices could mean risks of ‘overtreatment’ or ‘under treatment’\(^1\). This may lead to depriving needy patients of anti-hypertensive drugs, unwanted psychological distress and unnecessary expenditure on drugs\(^7\). On the other hand accurate measurements mean early detection of hypertension, thus, efficient management of patients cutting down country’s expenditure on drugs and possibly referrals.

Although the studies on the performances of BPM devices from the developing countries are scanty, a study conducted at St. Thomas’ Hospital, United Kingdom (UK), reported that only 26 percent of electronic BPM devices were inaccurate\(^9\). The better result in that study could be because of the better model of electronic devices used in the UK. In another study, Stergiou et al conducted a review of 28 articles in 2017 which included 31 validation studies on 29 devices reported that 22 (71%) devices passed, while 9 (29%) of them failed the BP measuring protocols\(^8\). The difference in results could be again due to variation in: the model of devices tested, and validation protocol.

The study from a hospital in the UK has reported 13 out of the 47 electronic BPM devices tested to have published evidence of validation\(^9\). However, almost all the BPM devices used in health facilities of Bhutan do not have published evidence of validation. Although, device models such as CH-432B, HEM-7117-E, HEM-4500-SOLE, HEM-7200-E had evidence of validation (dableducational website), these are recommended for home use and not for clinical use. Further, there are no standards and guidelines for the import of BPM devices in the country.

Figure 2. Box plots of the measured leakage rate in aneroid and mercury blood pressure measuring devices (n=269) in health facilities of Bhutan, 2018. Dash line indicate the permissible leakage rate (<= 15 mmHg) as specified in the standard operating procedure for performance verification and safety testing of blood pressure approved by the Ministry of Health for use in Bhutan.
It is also clinically important to know air leakage rates as it assesses the condition of the cuff, rubber bag, rubber tubing, connections and metal/bleed valves. The Leak test results illustrates that over two thirds of devices qualifying the tests with leak rates within national acceptable range of <= 15 mmHg. A study reported as low as only three percent of devices qualifying the test. This could be due to the stringent permissible (<=4 mmHg/min) leak rate as per European standard. However, the study considered a threshold of 60 mmHg/min above which, the leakage would cause inaccurate measurement. Comparing to the mercury devices, aneroid devices performed better in terms of leak test. This is also reported to be true in the study mentioned earlier.

**Strengths**
This is the first study highlighting the accuracy assessment of BPM devices in selected health facilities of Bhutan. Since the study was conducted on two referral hospitals, all hospitals and all Basic Health Unit (BHU I), the findings can be representative of national level. It can also serve as a baseline for future related studies, which will ensure the quality of blood pressure measuring devices to be purchased and provided to health facilities in the country.

The methodology adopted could provide an alternative for validation of BPM devices during use. Else the validation protocols are not feasible in a routine clinical care because the procedures are lengthy, time consuming, and have restrictive selection criteria for recruitment of subjects.

The study used a calibrated reference device, which applied static pressures to mandrel rather than employing human subjects, whose blood pressures can vary depending on circumstance or environment.

**LIMITATIONS**
The age of the individual BPM devices could not be obtained. Thus the study could not comment on likely impact of the age of devices on their accuracy. The sensor and pressure transducer in electronic devices are reported to be losing their stability with time

Pulse accuracy measurements were not recorded for all of the electronic BPM devices, therefore not reported. Further, these devices could not be set to calibration mode, thus, leak tests were also not performed.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**
As an immediate measure, health centers were notified and advised to adjust respective differences in reading of their respective BPM devices. Those devices with erratic readings were condemned on spot. Whilst, temporary administrative actions were taken based on the findings, this calls for standardizing the models of the devices being purchased. Care and efforts should be taken to ensure that validated devices are imported into the country. However, a recent study states the possibility of manufacturer’s influence on validation outcome especially those who sponsor the validation. Thus, BPM devices need to be re-validated with internally developed procedures at par with the recognized protocols. Further, their performances need to be checked and verified periodically to ensure their accuracy.

The study findings also raise concerns on devices purchased by the public, for home use, from the local retailers in the country. There are no regulatory controls to monitor such purchases. Whilst, blood pressures are measured in the clinical set up before making any inferences, chances are people can rely on faulty readings and avoid their visits to clinics if found low.

**CONCLUSIONS**
The study underscores that almost all electronic blood pressure measuring devices that are currently being used in the health facilities of Bhutan are not within the national accuracy range. Therefore, measures to ensure that only validated devices are imported in the country needs to be strengthened. Further, BPM devices should be verified for its performance periodically once they are in use.
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